

Arrow's GE-with-SECURITIES MODEL

STATES: $S \in S = \{1, \dots, S\}$ ONE GOOD (e.g., "DOLLARS")

CONSUMERS: $i \in N = \{1, \dots, N\}$

CONSUMPTION PLANS: $(x_0^i, (x_s^i)) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+^S = \mathbb{R}_+^{1+S}$

CONSUMERS: $(u^i; (x_0^i, (x_s^i)))$, $u^i: \mathbb{R}_+^{1+S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

SECURITIES:

INDEXED BY $k \in \{1, \dots, K\} = K$

FOR EACH $k \in K$:

$d_k = (d_{sk})_{S \in S} = \begin{bmatrix} d_{1k} \\ \vdots \\ d_{Sk} \end{bmatrix}$, DIVIDENDS/RETURNS VECTOR.

y_k^i IS AMOUNT OF SECURITY k BOUGHT/HELD BY i .

i 'S PORTFOLIO: (y_1^i, \dots, y_K^i)

q_k IS THE PRICE OF SECURITY k .

$D = [d_1, \dots, d_K] = [d_{sk}]_{S \in S, k \in K}$, THE $S \times K$ MATRIX OF SECURITIES RETURNS.

NOTE THAT ANY d_{sk} OR y_k^i CAN BE POSITIVE, NEGATIVE, OR ZERO.

THE LINK BETWEEN SECURITIES AND PLANS:

$$x_s^i = x_s^{o,i} + \sum_{k \in K} y_k^i d_{sk}, \quad \forall s \in S$$

LET $z = x^i - x^{o,i}$

i.e., $(x_s^i)_S = (x_s^{o,i})_S + Dy^i \in \mathbb{R}_+^S$

i.e., $(x_s^i - x_s^{o,i})_S = Dy^i \in \mathbb{R}_+^S$

EXAMPLES:

① CREDIT ONLY:
(K=1)

$$x_H^i = x_H^{o,i} + (1+r)y^i$$

$$x_L^i = x_L^{o,i} + (1+r)y^i$$

$z = y \begin{bmatrix} 1+r \\ 1+r \end{bmatrix}$
 $z = Dy$
 $= 0$ IN EXAMPLE

② CREDIT & INSURANCE:
(K=2)

$$x_H^i = x_H^{o,i} + (1+r)y_1^i + d_{H2}y_2^i$$

$$x_L^i = x_L^{o,i} + (1+r)y_1^i + d_{L2}y_2^i$$

EQUILIBRIUM:

DEFN: AN EQUILIBRIUM OF THE MARKETS DEFINED

BY D IS A $(q; (y^i)_N, (x_0^i, (x_s^i)_S)_N) \in \mathbb{R}_+^K \times \mathbb{R}^{NK} \times \mathbb{R}_+^{N(1+S)}$ THAT SATISFIES

(U-MAX) $\forall i \in N: (y^i, x_0^i, (x_s^i)_S)$ MAXIMIZES u^i S.T.

$$x_0^i + q \cdot y^i \leq x_0^i$$

AND $x_s^i \leq x_s^{o,i} + \sum_{k \in K} d_{sk} y_k^i, \quad s = 1, \dots, S$

$z = Dy$

(M-CLR) $\sum_{i \in N} x_0^i \leq \sum_{i \in N} x_0^{o,i}$ AND

AND $\sum_{i \in N} y_k^i \leq 0$ AND $q_k \sum_{i \in N} y_k^i = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, K.$

- IS THE EQUILIBRIUM ALLOCATION PARETO OPTIMAL?
- IS IT THE ARROW-DEBREU ALLOCATION?
- ARE PRICES q RELATED TO A-D PRICES?

THEOREM: LET $(p, (x_0^i, (x_s^i)_{s \in S})_N)$ BE AN ARROW-DEBREU EQUILIBRIUM FOR THE ECONOMY $(S, (u^i, (x_0^i, (x_s^i)_{s \in S}))_N)$. LET D BE AN $S \times K$ SECURITIES RETURNS MATRIX, AND LET $q = pD$. IF $\text{rank } D = S$, THEN THERE IS A $(y^i)_{i \in N}$ IN \mathbb{R}^{NK} SUCH THAT $(q, (y^i)_{i \in N}, (x_0^i, (x_s^i)_{s \in S})_N)$ IS AN EQUILIBRIUM OF THE SECURITIES MARKETS DEFINED BY D .

[NOTE THAT THE ALLOCATION, $(x_0^i, (x_s^i)_{s \in S})_{i \in N}$, IS THE SAME IN BOTH EQUILIBRIA.]

PROOF:

IN THE PROPOSITION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

WE SHOW THAT EACH CONSUMER FACES THE SAME BUDGET SET IN THE CONSUMPTION-PLAN SPACE $\mathbb{R}_+^{(1+S)}$ IN BOTH MARKETS (AT THE RESPECTIVE EQUILIBRIUM PRICES); THEREFORE EACH CONSUMER WILL CHOOSE THE SAME PLAN AT ONE EQUILIBRIUM PRICE-LIST AS AT THE OTHER. ||

REMARK: THE CONDITION THAT $\text{rank } D = S$ CAN BE STATED EQUIVALENTLY AS:

THE SECURITIES d_1, \dots, d_K SPAN THE SPACE \mathbb{R}^S .

IN EACH CASE THE CONSUMER IS CHOOSING SO AS TO MAXIMIZE $u(x_0, (x_s)_s)$, i.e., $u(x_0 + z_0, (x_s + z_s)_s)$.

Arrow-Debreu:

CONSUMER CHOOSES $(z_0, (z_s)) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+S}$ s.t. $1+S$ VAR'S

B.C.: $z_0 + \sum_{s \in S} p_s z_s = 0 \leftarrow z_0 + p \cdot z = 0$ | 1 CONST.

WITH SECURITIES:

CONSUMER CHOOSES $y \in \mathbb{R}^K$ AND $(z_0, (z_s)) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+S}$ $K+1+S$ VAR'S

B.C.: $z_0 + \sum_{k \in K} q_k y_k = 0 \leftarrow z_0 + q \cdot y = 0$

AND $z = Dy$ i.e., $z_s = \sum_{k \in K} d_{sk} y_k, s = 1, \dots, S$ } $1+S$ CONST'S

DEFINE $\leftarrow \in \mathbb{R}^{1+S}$

$A := \{ (z_0, (z_s)) \mid z_0 + p \cdot z = 0 \}$

$B := \{ (z_0, (z_s)) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+S} \mid \exists y \in \mathbb{R}^K : z_0 + q \cdot y = 0 \text{ \& } z = Dy \}$

PROPOSITION: LET $p \in \mathbb{R}^S$; LET D BE $S \times K$; LET $q = pD \in \mathbb{R}^K$; AND LET A AND B BE AS ABOVE. IF $\text{rank } D = S$, THEN $A = B$.

PROOF:

WE SHOW $A \subseteq B$ AND $B \subseteq A$. (NEXT PAGE)

PROOF THAT $A=B$: (i) $A \subseteq B$, (ii) $B \subseteq A$

(i) LET $(z_0, z) \in A$;

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{i.e., } 0 &= z_0 + p \cdot z \\
 &= z_0 + p \cdot (Dy) \quad \leftarrow \exists y: z = Dy \text{ BECAUSE } D \\
 &= z_0 + (pD) \cdot y \quad \leftarrow \text{FOR SOME } y \text{ HAS FULL RANK} \\
 &= z_0 + q \cdot y \quad \leftarrow \text{BECAUSE } q = pD.
 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore (z_0, z) \in B$.

(ii) LET $(z_0, z) \in B$;

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{i.e., } 0 &= z_0 + q \cdot y \quad \text{FOR SOME } y \text{ S.T. } z = Dy \\
 &= z_0 + (pD) \cdot y \quad \leftarrow \text{BECAUSE } q = pD \\
 &= z_0 + p \cdot (Dy) \\
 &= z_0 + p \cdot z.
 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore (z_0, z) \in A$. \parallel

WE'VE SHOWN THAT IF $q = pD$ AND IF D IS OF FULL RANK (i.e., $\text{rank } D = S$), THEN THE SET OF NET CONSUMPTION PLANS (z_0, z) ACHIEVABLE BY A CONSUMER — i.e., THE SET A UNDER ARROW-DEBREU CONTINGENT CLAIMS, AND THE SET B VIA SECURITIES MARKETS — IS THE SAME SET IN EACH OF THE TWO EQUILIBRIA.