

③ $U_A(x_0, x_H, x_L) = x_0 + 5x_H - .3x_H^2 + 3x_L - .3x_L^2$
 $U_B(x_0, x_H, x_L) = x_0 + 5x_H - .4x_H^2 + 3x_L - .2x_L^2$
 $\bar{x}_A = (6, 4, 2), \quad \bar{x}_B = (6, 10, 8) ; \quad \therefore \bar{x} = (12, 14, 10).$

$MRS_{AH} = 5 - .6x_{AH} \quad MRS_{AL} = 3 - .6x_{AL}$
 $MRS_{BH} = 5 - .8x_{BH} \quad MRS_{BL} = 3 - .4x_{BL}$

(a) $MRS_{AH} = MRS_{BH} : 5 - .6x_{AH} = 5 - .8x_{BH} \quad \therefore 6x_{AH} = 8x_{BH}$
i.e., $x_{BH} = \frac{3}{4}x_{AH} \quad \therefore \boxed{x_{AH} = 8, x_{BH} = 6.}$

$MRS_{AL} = MRS_{BL} : 3 - .6x_{AL} = 3 - .4x_{BL} \quad \therefore 6x_{AL} = 4x_{BL}$
i.e., $x_{AL} = \frac{2}{3}x_{BL} \quad \therefore \boxed{x_{AL} = 4, x_{BL} = 6.}$

x_{A0}, x_{B0} NEED ONLY SATISFY $x_{A0} + x_{B0} = \bar{x}_0 = 12.$

NOTE THAT $MRS_{AH} = MRS_{BH} = 5 - 4.8 = .2$
 AND $MRS_{AL} = MRS_{BL} = 3 - 2.4 = .6.$

(b) THE ARROW-DEBREU EQUILIBRIUM SATISFIES $MRS_{iH} = P_H$
 AND $MRS_{iL} = P_L$ FOR $i = A, B$ (ASSUMING $P_0 = 1$), SO THE
 RESULTS IN (a) YIELD $\boxed{P_H = .2 \text{ AND } P_L = .6.}$

THE RESULTS IN (a) THEN ALSO YIELD THE INDIVIDUALS' CHOICES
 OF x_{iH} AND x_{iL} : $(x_{AH}, x_{AL}) = (8, 4)$ AND $(x_{BH}, x_{BL}) = (6, 6).$

THESE CHOICES, ALONG WITH THE PRICES, YIELD

$x_{A0} = \bar{x}_{A0} - P_H x_{AH} - P_L x_{AL} = 6 - .2(8-4) - .6(4-2) = 6 - .8 - 1.2 = 4$

$x_{B0} = \bar{x}_{B0} - P_H x_{BH} - P_L x_{BL} = 6 - .2(6-10) - .6(6-8) = 6 + .8 + 1.2 = 8.$

(c) LET S_i DENOTE i 'S SAVING. EQUILIBRIUM SATISFIES
 $S_A + S_B = 0$ AND $MRS_{i:H} + MRS_{i:L} = \frac{1}{1+r}$ FOR EACH i
 (THIS IS DERIVED BELOW, ALTHOUGH IT WAS NOT REQUIRED).
 NOTE THAT $X_{AH} = \overset{\circ}{X}_{AH} + (1+r)S_A$ AND $X_{AL} = \overset{\circ}{X}_{AL} + (1+r)S_A$,
 AND SIMILARLY FOR B. WRITING z_i FOR $(1+r)S_i$ TO
 SIMPLIFY NOTATION, WE HAVE

$$\begin{aligned} MRS_{AH} + MRS_{AL} &= 5 - .6(4+z_A) - .6(2+z_A) + 3 \\ &= 8 - 2.4 - 1.2 - 1.2z_A = 4.4 - 1.2z_A \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} MRS_{BH} + MRS_{BL} &= 5 - .8(10+z_B) + 3 - .4(8+z_B) \\ &= 8 - 8 - 3.2 - 1.2z_B = -3.2 - 1.2z_B \end{aligned}$$

AT EQUILIBRIUM WE HAVE $S_B = -S_A$ (AND $\therefore z_B = -z_A$) AND

$$MRS_{AH} + MRS_{AL} = \frac{1}{1+r} = MRS_{BH} + MRS_{BL}$$

i.e., $4.4 - 1.2z_A = -3.2 - 1.2(-z_A)$

i.e., $2.4z_A = 7.6$; i.e., $z_A = \frac{76}{24} = \frac{19}{6} = 3\frac{1}{6}$.

$$\therefore MRS_{AH} + MRS_{AL} = 4.4 - (1.2)\left(\frac{19}{6}\right) = 4.4 - \left(\frac{6}{5}\right)\left(\frac{19}{6}\right) = 4.4 - \frac{19}{5} = .6$$

$$MRS_{BH} + MRS_{BL} = -3.2 + 1.2z_A = -3.2 + \left(\frac{6}{5}\right)\left(\frac{19}{6}\right) = -3.2 + \frac{19}{5} = .6$$

$$\therefore \frac{1}{1+r} = .6; \quad 1+r = \frac{1}{.6} = \frac{5}{3} = 1\frac{2}{3}; \quad \boxed{r = \frac{2}{3}}$$

SOLVING FOR S_A AND S_B :

$$(1+r)S_A = z_A; \quad \text{i.e., } \frac{5}{3}S_A = \frac{19}{6}; \quad \therefore S_A = \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)\left(\frac{19}{6}\right) = \left(\frac{6}{10}\right)\left(\frac{19}{6}\right) = 1.9$$

$$\boxed{S_A = 1.9, S_B = -1.9}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} X_{AH} &= 4 + z_A = 7\frac{1}{6}, & X_{AL} &= 2 + z_A = 5\frac{1}{6} \\ X_{BH} &= 10 + z_B = 6\frac{5}{6}, & X_{BL} &= 8 + z_B = 4\frac{5}{6} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{NOTE HOW THESE COMPARE WITH (a)!}$$

$$X_{A0} = \overset{\circ}{X}_{A0} - S_A = 6 - 1.9 = 4.1, \quad X_{B0} = \overset{\circ}{X}_{B0} - S_B = 6 + 1.9 = 7.9$$

(d) TWO SECURITIES:

$$d_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1+r \\ 1+r \end{bmatrix}, \text{ PRICE IS } 1; \quad d_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ PRICE IS } p.$$

NOTE THAT WE COULD INSTEAD HAVE DEFINED d_1 BY $d_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, WITH PRICE q . THEN THE INTEREST RATE r WOULD BE DEFINED BY $q = \frac{1}{1+r}$.

SINCE THE SECURITIES SPAN THE SPACE OF STATE-CONTINGENT RETURNS, R^2 , THE EQUILIBRIUM ALLOCATION HERE WILL BE THE ARROW-DEBREU ALLOCATION, AND THE EQUILIBRIUM r AND p WILL BE RELATED TO THE ARROW-DEBREU PRICES p_H AND p_L AS FOLLOWS:

$$\text{PRICE OF } d_1: 1 = (1+r)p_H + (1+r)p_L = (1+r)(p_H + p_L) = (1+r)(.8)$$

$$\text{PRICE OF } d_2: p = (1)p_H + (0)p_L = p_H = .2.$$

FROM THE EQUATION FOR d_1 'S PRICE WE HAVE $\frac{1}{1+r} = .8$; $\therefore r = \frac{1}{4}$.

SO WE HAVE:

INTEREST RATE IS $r = \frac{1}{4} = 25\%$, PRICE OF INSURANCE IS $p = .2$.

IF WE HAD INSTEAD DEFINED d_1 AS $d_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, WITH PRICE q , WE WOULD HAVE $q = (1)p_H + (1)p_L = .2 + .6 = .8$, SO WE AGAIN HAVE $\frac{1}{1+r} = .8$ AND THEREFORE $r = \frac{1}{4}$.

THE EASIEST WAY TO DETERMINING THE SAVING AND INSURANCE CHOICES IS TO USE THE ARROW-DEBREU QUANTITIES, AS FOLLOWS:

SAVING: THE ONLY WAY TO AUGMENT x_L IS VIA SAVING;
i.e., $x_L = \overset{\circ}{x}_L + (1+r)S$, OR $S = \frac{1}{1+r} (x_L - \overset{\circ}{x}_L)$.

SAVING BY A: $S_A = \frac{1}{1+r} (x_{AL} - \overset{\circ}{x}_{AL}) = .8(4-2) = 1.6$.

SAVING BY B: $S_B = \frac{1}{1+r} (x_{BL} - \overset{\circ}{x}_{BL}) = .8(6-8) = -1.6$.

THUS, A SAVES 1.6, WHICH YIELDS 2 TOMORROW IN EACH STATE;
B BORROWS 1.6, REPAYING 2 TOMORROW IN EACH STATE.

INSURANCE: WITH NO INSURANCE, CONSUMPTION IN STATE H
WOULD BE $x_H = \overset{\circ}{x}_H + (1+r)S$, THE SAME AS IN STATE L.

BUT INSURANCE AUGMENTS THIS: BUYING \bar{z} UNITS
OF INSURANCE PROVIDES $x_H = \overset{\circ}{x}_H + (1+r)S + \bar{z}$ —
i.e., $\bar{z} = x_H - (\overset{\circ}{x}_H + (1+r)S)$.

INSURANCE BY A: $\bar{z}_A = x_{AH} - (\overset{\circ}{x}_{AH} + (1+r)S_A)$
 $= 8 - (4 + 2) = 8 - 6 = 2$

INSURANCE BY B: $\bar{z}_B = x_{BH} - (\overset{\circ}{x}_{BH} + (1+r)S_B)$
 $= 6 - (10 - 2) = 6 - 8 = -2$.

THUS, A BUYS 2 UNITS OF INSURANCE, WHICH ARE SOLD BY B,
AT PRICE $p = .2$.

CHECK x_{A0} AND x_{B0} AGAINST ARROW-DEBREU VALUES:

$$x_{A0} = \overset{\circ}{x}_{A0} - S_A - p\bar{z}_A = 6 - 1.6 - (.2)(2) = 6 - 2 = 4$$

$$x_{B0} = \overset{\circ}{x}_{B0} - S_B - p\bar{z}_B = 6 - (-1.6) - (.2)(-2) = 6 + 2 = 8,$$

THE SAME AS THE ARROW-DEBREU VALUES.

(e) THE ALLOCATION IN (d) IS PARETO EFFICIENT, THE ONE IN (c) IS NOT: THE ONE IN (d) SATISFIES THE EQUATIONS IN (a), THE ONE IN (c) DOES NOT. MORE GENERALLY, THE EXPLANATION IS THAT THERE ARE COMPLETE SECURITIES MARKETS IN (d) — THE SECURITIES SPAN THE SPACE OF STATE-CONTINGENT RETURNS, SO THAT INDIVIDUALS CAN ACHIEVE INDEPENDENT CHOICES OF x_H AND x_L . IN (c) THAT'S NOT POSSIBLE: $x_H - \hat{x}_H$ AND $x_L - \hat{x}_L$ MUST BE THE SAME, NAMELY $(1+r)s$.

DERIVATION OF THE MARGINAL CONDITION FOR UTILITY MAXIMIZATION WITH ONLY A CREDIT MARKET, AS IN (c):
 [THIS WAS NOT REQUIRED]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DEFINE } \tilde{u}(s) &:= u(x_0(s), x_H(s), x_L(s)) \\ &= u(x_0 - s, \hat{x}_H + (1+r)s, \hat{x}_L + (1+r)s). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{INTERIOR FOC: } \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial s} = 0;$$

$$\text{i.e., } (-1)u_0 + (1+r)u_H + (1+r)u_L = 0$$

$$\text{i.e., } (1+r)(u_H + u_L) = u_0$$

$$\text{i.e., } \frac{u_H}{u_0} + \frac{u_L}{u_0} = \frac{1}{1+r}$$

$$\text{i.e., } \text{MRS}_H + \text{MRS}_L = \frac{1}{1+r}.$$